Research Proposal: Multi-Robot Coverage of Modular Environments

Mirko Salaris mirko.salaris@mail.polimi.it CSE Track







Outline

- What is Coverage?
- Why?
- Multi-Robot Coverage
- Preliminaries
- Our setting: Modular Environments
- State of the Art
- Research Plan





- An environment of which we know the map
- A set of points of interest in the map
- A mobile agent, equipped with a 'covering tool' of finite size

The goal is to find a path:

- optimal
- such that each point of interest fall under the covering tool at least once



Why?

• To physically pass over a specified set of points







Why?

- To physically pass over a specified set of points
- To gather data about the environment





Why?

- To physically pass over a specified set of points
- To gather data about the environment
- For search and rescue applications









An approach that comes from the Multi-Robot Systems area

Advantages:

- it provides robustness (i.e., supporting the loss of a robot)
- it increases efficiency

Drawbacks:

- it needs to address coordination
- Increased algorithmic complexity





The problem of Multi-Robot Coverage is defined as the planning of a number of paths, one for each robot, over a known environment

...





The problem of Multi-Robot Coverage is defined as the planning of a number of paths, one for each robot, over a known environment

such that, when followed by the agents, all the points of interest fall under the covering tool (of finite size) of at least one agent,

...





The problem of Multi-Robot Coverage is defined as the planning of a number of paths, one for each robot, over a known environment

such that, when followed by the agents, all the points of interest fall under the covering tool (of finite size) of at least one agent,

the constraints of the environment are considered and the solution is optimal w.r.t. a certain metric

[Kong, C.S. et al., 2006]





The problem of Multi-Robot Coverage is defined as the planning of a number of paths, one for each robot, over a known environment

such that, when followed by the agents, all the points of interest fall under the covering tool (of finite size) of at least one agent,

the constraints of the environment are considered and the solution is optimal w.r.t. a certain metric

[Kong, C.S. et al., 2006]

Common metrics: MINSUM, MINMAX





From environment to graph

- Points of interest of the environment \rightarrow nodes
- Connections between points \rightarrow edges

Example: Voronoi tessellation





Preliminaries

Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP):

"Given a set of cities and the distances between each pair of them, what is the shortest possible route that visits each city and returns to the origin city?"

Cities \rightarrow nodes Distances between cities \rightarrow edges with associated cost Origin city \rightarrow depot



Preliminaries

Multiple Traveling Salesmen Problem (mTSP):

"Given a set of nodes and a cost metric defined in terms of distance or time, let there be *m* agents located at a single initial node, called depot. The remaining nodes are called 'intermediate nodes'. The mTSP consists of finding tours for all the *m* agents, which all start and end at the depot, such that each intermediate node is visited exactly once and the total cost of visiting all the nodes is minimized"

[Bektas, T., 2006]

NP-Hard



Preliminaries – mTSP approximations

- Frederickson et al. (1976): tour-splitting heuristic > Approximation factor: $\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{m}$
- Malik et al. (2007): for GMTSP (Generalized Multiple depot mTSP) with symmetric costs and triangle inequality satisfied
 Approximation factor: 2

Our idea: exploit constraints on the environment to get tighter bounds



- Repeated identical subparts: the 'modules'
- Environment is made up only by these modules, all identical to each other, and their interconnections













- All robots are considered equivalent
- Robots can move at constant speed through the whole environment
- Same starting location for all robots
- We are interested in minimizing the completion time (MINMAX)





Common approaches:

- Split the TSP solution
- Use clustering





Split the TSP solution



[Frederickson, G.N. et al., 1976]





Split the TSP solution

6 robots



[Frederickson, G.N. et al., 1976]





Split the TSP solution

6 robots



[Frederickson, G.N. et al., 1976]







[Chandran, N. et al., 2006]



State of the Art



[Chandran, N. et al., 2006]



Research Plan

Purpose: investigate the potential improvements over the bounds of approximations given by path planning algorithms for multi-robot coverage problems enabled by considering environments characterized by repeated identical sub-structures

Three phases:

- Phase 1: integer algorithm
- Phase 2: algorithm with no integrity constraints
- Phase 3: splitting the TSP global solution



Research Plan: Phase 1

Integer algorithm:

- Modules considered as indivisible parts
- Each module assigned to one and only one robot
- Look for an algorithm that provides an assignment that minimizes the completion time







Algorithm without integrity constraints:

- Relaxation of the integrity constraints
- Each module can be shared among multiple robots
- Expected to provide tighter approximation bounds







Splitting the global TSP solution:

- Compute the TSP solution over all the nodes
- Split it using a heuristic on the modules that considers:
 - Relative positions
 - Size of each module
 - Number of modules
 - Internal structure of modules



Research Plan

Task Name	09/2018	10/2018	11/2018	12/2018	01/2019	02/2019	03/2019	04/2019	05/2019	06/2019
Preliminary investigation and assessment of the problem										
State of the Art										
Project Proposal										
Phase 1: integer algorithm										
Phase 2: algorithm with no integrity constraints										
Phase 3: splitting the TSP global solution										
Numerical analysis										
Paper writing										
l First draft										
➡ Draft review and final version elaboration										



Thank you for the attention

Questions?